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## Introduction

- In this presentation I will show an example of state assignment by heuristic rules and compare it to the assignment down by partition pairs.
- So that my example is more relevant and unique, I will use the simplified state machine from my project.


| CS | NS |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | $X=0$ | $X=1$ |
| $A$ | $A$ | $B$ |
| $B$ | $C$ | $F$ |
| $C$ | A | $D$ |
| $D$ | $D$ | $E$ |
| $E$ | $A$ | $A$ |
| $F$ | $F$ | $C$ |

## State Assignment by Rules

- Rule 1
- States with most incoming branches should be assignment least number of 1 's in code.
- This implies that state A which has the most incoming branches by far should be zero. All the other states have about the same number of incoming branches so we take no precedence

$$
\mathrm{A}<=000
$$

## State Assignment by Rules

- Rule 2
- State with common next state on the same input condition should be assigned adjacent codes.
- In my example this only occurs for $\mathrm{E} \& \mathrm{C} \& \mathrm{~A}$

E \& C \& A should be adjacent to each other


## State Assignment by Rules

- Rule 3
- Next state of same state should be adjacent codes according to adjacency of branch conditions.
- This is a little harder to see but implies ...

Impossible to
do all these with 3 bits!

A adj. B
A adj. D
D adj. E
F adj. C


## State Assignment by Rules

- Rule 4
- States that form a chain on same branch should be adjacent codes.

Two chains:
Chain A->B->F->C->D->E Chain B->C->A


## State Assignment by Rules

- Our assignment ...



## State Assignment by Rules



In this example partition pair method does not give a good solution.

## Comparison of results

Rules and heuristics

- Easy to do

Advantages

Disadvantages

- Rules may not always hold true
- Inefficient for large variable problems.

Partitioning

- Will always find best solution if given time
- Better than trying every possibility
- More complex
- Can be slow if problem is large or bad partition

